

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/667,777	09/22/2003	Eric Chemisky	071308.0474	9548	
31625	7590 05/23/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	X 78701-4039		2834		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/200	DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,777	CHEMISKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Budd	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.	<u> April 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,11-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,11-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>7,10 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	. c. a.e commod dopied not receive	<b>~.</b>				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date $9/22/03$ 6) Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: THE TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS IN THE PREVIOUS OFFICE ACTION HAVE BEEN CORRECTED.

PRIMARY EXAMINED

Application/Control Number: 10/667,777

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1-5, 9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Tanuma in view of Nakane for the reasons set forth in the previous office action (10-4-04).

Claims 6, 8, 11, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Tanuma in view of Nakane and combined with Suzuki for the explicit reasons stated in the previous office action (10-4-04).

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. A parallel connected capacitor critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Applicants specification, original claims as well as the arguments contained in the most recent amendment (12-14-04) indicate the criticality of the compensation capacitor is in its parallel connection with the piezo element as opposed to a series connection.

Regarding applications remarks. Firstly, it is noted that new claims 20-22 do not correspond to objected claim 7, 10 and 18 presented in independent form. As noted above, they clearly fail to include that the compensation capacitor is parallel connected.

Applicant marks an interesting point regarding Nakane fig. 12 and the presence of inductor #21. However, the inductor \$21 is also used in the fig. 13 circuit which teaches an alternate wherein the compensation capacitor #42 is connected in series.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/667,777

Art Unit: 2834

Thus, inductor \$21 is a constant, net in both parallel and series alternatives and thus not

unique or essential to only the parallel version. Indeed, for both series and parallel

connections, the capacitive value of the inductor #21 must be low. Nothing would be

lower than elimination of the inductor (see Nakane col. 81 lines 30-59).

Claims 7, 10 and 18 remain objected to.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Budd/ds

01/26/05

WARK USSU RIMARY EXAMINER